

## Durham Research Online

---

### Deposited in DRO:

26 May 2014

### Version of attached file:

Accepted Version

### Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

### Citation for published item:

Gangl, H. (2013) 'Functional equations and ladders for polylogarithms.', Communications in number theory and physics., 7 (3). pp. 397-410.

### Further information on publisher's website:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.4310/CNTP.2013.v7.n3.a1>

### Publisher's copyright statement:

Copyright © International Press. First published in Communications in Number Theory and Physics in 7 (3), 2013, published by International Press.

### Additional information:

---

## Use policy

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a [link](#) is made to the metadata record in DRO
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the [full DRO policy](#) for further details.

# Functional equations and ladders for polylogarithms

Herbert Gangl

April 30, 2014

## Abstract

We give a number of  $\mathcal{S}_3$ -symmetric functional equations for polylogarithms up to weight 7. This allows to obtain the first proven ladder relations, à la Lewin, of weight 6 and 7.

## 1 Motivation

Polylogarithms appear in many contexts within mathematical physics, like in dimensional regularization expansions or when determining analytic solutions of various Feynman integrals in quantum field theory; e.g. the dilogarithm appeared already in the famous paper by t’Hooft and Veltman [21], and Ussyukina and Davydychev [29], eq. (30), encountered all  $m$ -logarithms ( $n \leq m \leq 2n$ ) in a closed expression for the “ $n$ -box” diagram (for a more recent update cf. [28]), as well as in conformal field theory (the dilogarithm plays a crucial role in a conjecture of Nahm [27] characterizing rational CFTs) or when considering expansions of hypergeometric functions (cf. e.g. [22]). Even more closely related to our results below, (multiple) polylogarithms and their special values have occurred, among many others, in various ways in work of Broadhurst and Kreimer (e.g. [6]), occasionally even in connection with ladder relations (cf. [5]) as defined below. Recently, when calculating the two-loop hexagon Wilson loop in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory, Del Duca, Duhr and Smirnov [10] were led to a long expression in polylogarithms that has been subsequently enormously simplified by Goncharov, Spradlin, Vergu and Volovich [20] using Goncharov’s notion of a *symbol* attached to a (multiple) polylogarithm (the first combinatorial description being given, under the name  $\bigotimes^m$ -invariant, in [19], §4.4). Subsequent papers, especially in particle physics, by many more authors (e.g. [12], [13], [17], [11], [7], [8]) have studied similar expressions in various contexts. While the symbols somehow aim to circumvent having to apply

functional equations, it seems still conceivable that in these contexts insight into functional equations for the polylogarithms involved might be useful to reduce the ensuing—typically very complicated—expressions considerably.

Functional equations of polylogarithms play also a pivotal role in a more abstract context when trying to define an explicit version of the (odd index) algebraic  $K$ -groups  $K_{2m-1}(F)$  of a number field  $F$ . The latter can conjecturally be written as a subquotient of the free abelian group on  $F$  (pioneered by Bloch [3] in the dilogarithm case and generalized by Zagier [31] and by Goncharov [18] for higher  $m$ ), and the group of relations in that description is expected to encode all the functional equations of the  $m$ -logarithm.

In 1840, Kummer [23] gave non-trivial functional equations for polylogarithms  $Li_m(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} z^n/n^m$  up to weight  $m = 5$ , where results had previously been known only up to  $m = 3$ . He mentioned “peculiar difficulties” (“eigenthümliche Schwierigkeiten”) that arise when trying to extend the results to  $m > 5$ . In fact, Wechsung proved [30] that the type of functional equation that Kummer had found does *not* extend to  $m > 5$ .

In the eighties, Lewin and his coauthors ([1], [26]) tried several approaches to conquer what he called the “trans-Kummer region”  $m > 5$  (cf. e.g. [1], p.11), and they indeed found new functional equations, but all results were ultimately confined to the same range  $m \leq 5$ . On the way, Lewin discovered interesting special relations of the form  $\sum_j n_j Li_m(\alpha^j) = 0$  ( $n_j \in \mathbf{Q}$ ), for certain algebraic numbers  $\alpha$ . He realized that such relations, which he dubbed “ladders”, were consequences of a certain intrinsic property of such an  $\alpha$ , viz. the property that it satisfies many different “cyclotomic relations” (loc.cit.), which are equations of the form  $\prod_r (1 - \alpha^r)^{\nu_r} = \pm \alpha^N$  where  $r$ ,  $\nu_r$  and  $N$  are integers. This insight enabled him to conjecture certain ladders even up to weight  $m = 9$  (he used the terminology *order* in place of the now more common notion of *weight*). By cleverly specializing and combining old and new functional equations, he was able to prove quite a number of his conjectured ladders, but was again confined to weights  $\leq 5$ .

The first functional equations for  $m = 6$  and  $m = 7$  were constructed in [14] and [16], and no examples of higher weight are known. In this note we describe a collection of functional equations for polylogarithms up to this weight that have a very specific symmetry: the arguments (in one variable  $t$ ) involve only the three factors  $t$ ,  $1 - t$  and  $1 - t(1 - t)$  (with roots 0, 1 and the primitive sixth roots of unity, respectively), and each given equation is invariant under the action of the symmetric group  $\mathcal{S}_3$ .

As a by-product, the equations for weight 6 and 7 allow, after specialization, to prove the first ladders in that range.

## 2 Zagier's criterion for functional equations of polylogarithms

In his seminal papers [31] and [32], Zagier described a criterion for functional equations for polylogarithms. More precisely, he first gave a single-valued function  $\mathcal{L}_m(z) = \Re_m\left(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{2^k B_k}{k!} \log^k |z| Li_{m-k}(z)\right)$  (denoted by  $P_m(z)$  in [31]) attached to the (multivalued) function  $Li_m(z)$ , where  $\Re_m$  denotes the real part for  $m$  odd and the imaginary part for  $m$  even, and the  $B_k$  denote the Bernoulli numbers. This function now satisfies “clean” functional equations, i.e. without invoking products of lower weight polylogarithms as occur typically—and in abundance—for  $Li_m$ -equations (cf. e.g. almost any functional equation in [24]). Furthermore, one can give a very useful characterization for them which we describe in the following subsection.

### 2.1 Higher Bloch conditions

For a field  $F$ , let  $\beta_m^F$  be the map

$$\beta_m^F : \mathbf{Z}[F] \longrightarrow \bigotimes^{m-2} F^\times \otimes \bigwedge^2 F^\times ,$$

defined as  $\beta_m^F([0]) = \beta_m^F([1]) = 0$ , and on generators  $[x]$  ( $x \neq 0, 1$ ) as follows:

$$\beta_m^F([x]) = x \otimes \cdots \otimes x \otimes (x \wedge (1 - x)) .$$

For  $m = 2$ , this map was related to the dilogarithm in Bloch's seminal paper [3].

We say that a combination  $\xi \in \mathbf{Z}[F]$  *satisfies the  $m$ -th higher Bloch condition* simply if it lies in  $\ker \beta_m^F$ . This fits very well with the above one-valued function  $\mathcal{L}_m(z)$ :

**Theorem 1** (Zagier, [31]) *Let  $F$  be a subfield of  $\mathbf{C}(t)$  then for  $\xi \in \mathbf{Z}[F]$  we have*

$$\xi \in \ker \beta_m^F \implies \mathcal{L}_m(\sigma(\xi)) = \text{constant} ,$$

for any embedding  $\sigma : F \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}(t)$ .

Here we extend the definition of  $\mathcal{L}_m$  as well as of  $\sigma$  to all of  $\mathbf{Z}[F]$  by linearity, i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}_m \circ \sigma \left( \sum_i n_i [x_i] \right) = \sum_i n_i \mathcal{L}_m(\sigma(x_i)) .$$

In this way, the problem of finding functional equations with given arguments  $x_i$  is reduced to a problem in linear algebra and the hard part is to find a suitable list of potentially good arguments.

## 2.2 A rich collection of arguments

A particularly good collection of arguments for functional equations (in one variable  $t$ ) turns out to be given by

$$\{\pm t^{a_1}(1-t)^{a_2}(1-t(1-t))^{a_3} \mid a_i \in \mathbf{Z}\}.$$

It is convenient to introduce new variables

$$u_1(t) = \frac{-t}{1-t(1-t)}, \quad u_2(t) = \frac{-(1-t)}{1-t(1-t)}, \quad u_3(t) = \frac{t(1-t)}{1-t(1-t)}$$

and then to rewrite the above expressions as

$$\{\pm u_1(t)^{\alpha_1} u_2(t)^{\alpha_2} u_3(t)^{\alpha_3} \mid a_i \in \mathbf{Z}\},$$

for suitable  $\alpha_i$ , since then a further  $\mathcal{S}_3$ -symmetry becomes apparent. The two involutory automorphisms induced by  $t \mapsto \frac{1}{t}$  and  $t \mapsto 1-t$ , respectively, generate this  $\mathcal{S}_3$ -action on the set of those arguments by simply permuting the exponents. Any of the arguments can hence be encoded by a triple of exponents, together with a sign. There are many functional equations for  $m \leq 7$ , in the exponent range  $|\alpha_i| \leq 6$ , which carry the above symmetry. All the ones that were found have arguments chosen from the following list  $\mathcal{A}$  which represents 32  $\mathcal{S}_3$ -orbits in  $\mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{Q}(t)]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} = \{ & (-, 2, -2, 3), (+, 0, 5, 0), (-, 6, -1, -1), (+, 3, 0, 0), \\ & (+, 0, -3, 3), (-, -3, 6, -3), (-, -3, 3, 3), (+, 0, -5, 5), \\ & (+, 4, -1, 0), (+, -3, 4, 4), (+, 3, 0, -2), (-, -1, 2, -1), \\ & (+, 0, 1, 1), (-, 2, 0, -2), (+, 1, 0, -1), (-, 1, 0, -1), \\ & (+, -2, -2, 3), (-, -1, 3, -1), (+, -4, -1, 4), (-, -2, -2, 5), \\ & (-, 2, -1, 1), (-, -2, -1, 3), (-, 2, 0, -1), (+, 2, 0, -1), \\ & (-, -2, 2, 2), (+, 2, -1, -1), (-, 2, -1, -2), (-, 0, 1, 0), \\ & (+, 0, 1, 0), (-, -1, 1, 1), (+, 1, -1, -1), (-, 1, 1, 0) \}. \end{aligned}$$

The factors of  $1-x$  where  $x$  runs through those arguments can be found in the  $\mathcal{S}_3$ -orbits of the following list (where  $T = 1-t(1-t)$ )

$$\{t, T, 1+t, 1+t(1-t), 1+\frac{1}{T}, 1+\frac{t}{T}, 1+\frac{1-t}{tT}, 1+\frac{t(1-t)^2}{T^2}, 1-\frac{t^2(1-t)}{T^2}\}.$$

### 2.3 The functional equations

Due to the symmetry just explained we focus on  $\mathcal{S}_3$ -invariant functional equations and introduce the shorthand

$$[(\pm, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)] := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_3} \left[ \pm \prod_{i=1}^3 u_i(t)^{\alpha_{\sigma(i)}} \right].$$

Using this notation, the functional equations can be given in concise form, with coefficients taken from the tables below. We first state the results for combinations satisfying the higher Bloch conditions.

**Theorem 2** *For  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ , let  $\kappa_m = \ker (\beta_m^{\mathbf{Q}(t)})^{\mathcal{S}_3}$  be the space of  $\mathcal{S}_3$ -invariant elements in the kernel of the map  $\beta_m^{\mathbf{Q}(t)}$ . Then we have the following bounds on the ranks of  $\kappa_m$  for  $m = 4, 5, 6, 7$ .*

$m$	4	5	6	7
rank $\kappa_m$	$\geq 11$	$\geq 9$	$\geq 4$	$\geq 2$

The corresponding elements are given by

$$\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} c_j^{(m)}(a) [a],$$

with the coefficients  $c_j^{(m)} = \{c_j^{(m)}(a)\}_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$  as in Tables 1–3 below.

The proof that the given elements are indeed in the kernel of  $\beta_m^F$  is a tedious and mechanical task, which is best left to a computer. One determines all the factors occurring in a factorization of  $x$  and  $1 - x$ , where  $x$  runs through all the corresponding arguments in an equation and then checks that all the terms in the ensuing image under  $\beta_m^F$  do cancel. Using the  $\mathcal{S}_3$ -symmetry involved, one can cut down on the actual calculations, but they are still too cumbersome to give in detail.

**Corollary 3** *There are at least 2 (resp., 4, 9, 11) linearly independent  $\mathcal{S}_3$ -symmetric functional equations for  $\mathcal{L}_7$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{L}_6$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_5$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_4$ ) with arguments encoded (up to permutation) by  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

We remark that the two functional equations for  $\mathcal{L}_7$  do not seem to follow individually from the 2-variable equation for  $\mathcal{L}_7$  given in [16], but the linear combination of the two which cancels the constant terms is a specialization of that equation.

**Example.** We spell out some equations corresponding to the columns of Table 1. The last one,  $c_{11}^{(4)}$ , gives

$$2[(+, 2, -1, -1)] + 6[(-, 0, 1, 0)] + 3[(+, 1, -1, -1)] \in \ker \beta_4^F$$

for  $F = \mathbf{Q}(t)$ . This is equivalent to the 9-term equation for  $\mathcal{L}_4$  cited in [32], §7. The second-to-last column gives another element in that kernel,

$$\begin{aligned} [(-, -2, 2, 2)] &+ 4[(-, 2, -1, -2)] - 6[(-, 0, 1, 0)] \\ &- 12[(+, 0, 1, 0)] - 2[(+, 1, -1, -1)]. \end{aligned}$$

Explicitly, but with less apparent symmetry, this can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &2\left(\mathcal{L}_4\left(-\frac{t^4}{T^2}\right) + \mathcal{L}_4\left(-\frac{(1-t)^4}{T^2}\right) + \mathcal{L}_4\left(-\frac{1}{T^2}\right)\right) \\ &+ 4\left(\mathcal{L}_4\left(-\frac{(1-t)T}{t^3}\right) + \mathcal{L}_4\left(-\frac{tT}{(1-t)^3}\right) + \mathcal{L}_4\left(\frac{T}{t^3}\right)\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ \mathcal{L}_4\left(\frac{T}{(1-t)^3}\right) + \mathcal{L}_4((1-t)T) + \mathcal{L}_4(tT)\right) \\ &- 12\left(\mathcal{L}_4\left(-\frac{t(1-t)}{T}\right) + \mathcal{L}_4\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) + \mathcal{L}_4\left(\frac{1-t}{T}\right)\right) \\ &- 24\left(\mathcal{L}_4\left(\frac{t(1-t)}{T}\right) + \mathcal{L}_4\left(-\frac{t}{T}\right) + \mathcal{L}_4\left(-\frac{1-t}{T}\right)\right) \\ &- 4\left(\mathcal{L}_4\left(\frac{T}{t^2}\right) + \mathcal{L}_4\left(\frac{T}{(1-t)^2}\right) + \mathcal{L}_4(T)\right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $T = 1 - t(1 - t)$  as before.

The constant of Theorem 1 is zero for each  $c_j^{(m)}$  for even  $m$ , while for  $m = 5$  or  $7$  the constants can be obtained by specialising  $t$  to 1, say, and turn out to be of the form  $\lambda(c_j^{(m)})\zeta(m)$  with  $\lambda(c_j^{(m)}) \in \mathbf{Q}$  and  $\zeta(m) = \mathcal{L}_m(1)$  denoting the corresponding Riemann zeta value. The corresponding values of  $\lambda$  ( $= 0, 0, 0, 0, 1662, 378, 4230, -126$  and  $414$  for  $m = 5$  and  $-\frac{25461}{4}$  and  $-\frac{54495}{4}$  for  $m = 7$ , respectively) are given in the last lines of Tables 2 and 3, respectively. Note that certain  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , indicated by a gray font in Tables 1 and 3, occur with non-trivial coefficient only for odd  $m$  as the inversion relation annihilates the sum over the corresponding orbit for even  $m$ . Moreover, in order to display how the order of the columns has been chosen we indicate the first non-zero value in each column of Tables 1 and 2 in bold face.

## 2.4 The tables

### 2.4.1 Functional equations for $m = 4$

$a$	$c_1^{(4)}$	$c_2^{(4)}$	$c_3^{(4)}$	$c_4^{(4)}$	$c_5^{(4)}$	$c_6^{(4)}$	$c_7^{(4)}$	$c_8^{(4)}$	$c_9^{(4)}$	$c_{10}^{(4)}$	$c_{11}^{(4)}$
$(-, 2, -2, 3)$	<b>2</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$(+, 0, 5, 0)$	0	<b>1</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$(-, 6, -1, -1)$	0	0	<b>1</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$(+, 3, 0, 0)$	0	0	0	<b>3</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$(+, 0, -3, 3)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$(-, -3, 6, -3)$	0	0	0	0	<b>3</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0
$(-, -3, 3, 3)$	0	0	0	0	0	<b>3</b>	0	0	0	0	0
$(+, 0, -5, 5)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$(+, 4, -1, 0)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>2</b>	0	0	0	0
$(+, -3, 4, 4)$	0	0	1	1	-1	-1	0	0	0	0	0
$(+, 3, 0, -2)$	0	-10	0	0	0	0	-6	0	0	0	0
$(-, -1, 2, -1)$	0	0	0	0	-81	0	0	0	0	0	0
$(+, 0, 1, 1)$	0	-30	0	0	0	0	-6	0	0	0	0
$(-, 2, 0, -2)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$(+, 1, 0, -1)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$(-, 1, 0, -1)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$(+, -2, -2, 3)$	0	0	7	6	-15	3	0	0	0	0	0
$(-, -1, 3, -1)$	0	0	-14	-8	-10	-1	0	0	0	0	0
$(+, -4, -1, 4)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>1</b>	0	0	0
$(-, -2, -2, 5)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>1</b>	0	0
$(-, 2, -1, 1)$	-6	0	-42	-30	30	30	-6	-1	2	0	0
$(-, -2, -1, 3)$	2	0	0	6	-6	-6	-2	-3	-6	0	0
$(-, 2, 0, -1)$	6	0	-28	20	-20	-20	-12	6	-6	0	0
$(+, 2, 0, -1)$	-12	0	-14	-30	30	30	6	3	-12	0	0
$(-, -2, 2, 2)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>1</b>	0
$(+, 2, -1, -1)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>2</b>
$(-, 2, -1, -2)$	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	-4	0	4	0
$(-, 0, 1, 0)$	6	-120	42	78	30	-132	-42	-9	-6	-6	6
$(+, 0, 1, 0)$	-48	-125	0	-81	0	0	0	-3	0	-12	0
$(-, -1, 1, 1)$	0	0	0	0	0	-81	0	0	0	0	0
$(+, 1, -1, -1)$	15	-15	-21	36	45	18	-27	-2	-1	-2	3
$(-, 1, 1, 0)$	0	0	-21	-24	15	6	0	0	0	0	0

Table 1 Generators for  $\ker (\beta_4^{\mathbf{Q}(t)})^{S_3}$  in Thm 1



### 2.4.2 Functional equations for $m = 5$

$a$	$c_1^{(5)}$	$c_2^{(5)}$	$c_3^{(5)}$	$c_4^{(5)}$	$c_5^{(5)}$	$c_6^{(5)}$	$c_7^{(5)}$	$c_8^{(5)}$	$c_9^{(5)}$
$(-, 2, -2, 3)$	<b>18</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$(+, 0, 5, 0)$	0	<b>3</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$(-, 6, -1, -1)$	0	0	<b>3</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0
$(+, 3, 0, 0)$	0	0	0	<b>3</b>	0	0	0	0	0
$(+, 0, -3, 3)$	0	0	0	0	<b>10</b>	0	0	0	0
$(-, -3, 6, -3)$	0	0	0	0	0	<b>3</b>	0	0	0
$(-, -3, 3, 3)$	0	0	-63	-12	0	15	0	0	0
$(+, 0, -5, 5)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>3</b>	0	0
$(+, 4, -1, 0)$	0	-18	0	0	0	0	6	0	0
$(+, -3, 4, 4)$	0	0	15	3	0	-3	0	0	0
$(+, 3, 0, -2)$	0	12	0	0	0	0	-54	0	0
$(-, -1, 2, -1)$	0	0	0	0	0	-243	0	0	0
$(+, 0, 1, 1)$	0	-144	0	0	0	0	-27	0	0
$(-, 2, 0, -2)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>90</b>	0
$(+, 1, 0, -1)$	0	0	0	0	-810	0	-1875	0	0
$(-, 1, 0, -1)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>180</b>
$(+, -2, -2, 3)$	0	0	105	18	0	-45	0	0	0
$(-, -1, 3, -1)$	0	0	-105	-12	0	-15	0	0	0
$(+, -4, -1, 4)$	-8	-10	-56	-14	6	14	20	-8	2
$(-, -2, -2, 5)$	5	4	35	8	3	-8	7	-4	1
$(-, 2, -1, 1)$	-80	80	-1190	-224	6	224	-10	-8	2
$(-, -2, -1, 3)$	0	0	0	0	-54	0	-150	72	-18
$(-, 2, 0, -1)$	180	360	1050	336	-54	-336	-270	72	-18
$(+, 2, 0, -1)$	-360	-180	-2310	-504	-54	504	-90	72	-18
$(-, -2, 2, 2)$	-40	-20	-112	-28	12	28	40	-1	4
$(+, 2, -1, -1)$	0	0	0	0	-108	-162	-150	-96	-6
$(-, 2, -1, -2)$	190	200	1120	280	-120	-280	-400	40	-40
$(-, 0, 1, 0)$	540	-540	9450	1980	-108	-2142	-720	-36	-36
$(+, 0, 1, 0)$	-360	-1425	2520	387	-270	-630	-900	0	-90
$(-, -1, 1, 1)$	0	0	5103	972	0	-1215	0	0	0
$(+, 1, -1, -1)$	-544	-560	1085	32	39	-194	370	8	13
$(-, 1, 1, 0)$	0	0	-315	-72	0	45	0	0	0
$\lambda$	0	0	0	0	1662	378	4230	-126	414

Table 2 Generators and constant  $\lambda = \lambda(c_j^{(5)})$  for  $\ker(\beta_5^{\mathbf{Q}(t)})^{\mathcal{S}_3}$  in Thm 1

$a$	$c_1^{(6)}$	$c_2^{(6)}$	$c_3^{(6)}$	$c_4^{(6)}$	$c_1^{(7)}$	$c_2^{(7)}$
$(-, 2, -2, 3)$	6	0	0	0	50	126
$(+, 0, 5, 0)$	0	3	0	0	-3	0
$(-, 6, -1, -1)$	0	0	3	0	0	3
$(+, 3, 0, 0)$	0	0	0	5	0	-35
$(+, 0, -3, 3)$	0	0	0	0	0	140
$(-, -3, 6, -3)$	0	0	21	4	0	7
$(-, -3, 3, 3)$	0	0	-84	-20	0	28
$(+, 0, -5, 5)$	0	0	0	0	4	0
$(+, 4, -1, 0)$	0	-30	0	0	50	0
$(+, -3, 4, 4)$	0	0	12	3	0	-3
$(+, 3, 0, -2)$	0	60	0	0	-300	0
$(-, -1, 2, -1)$	0	0	-5103	-972	0	-5103
$(+, 0, 1, 1)$	0	-360	0	0	900	0
$(-, 2, 0, -2)$	0	0	0	0	-2750	-4410
$(+, 1, 0, -1)$	0	0	0	0	-62500	-102060
$(-, 1, 0, -1)$	0	0	0	0	-68000	-112140
$(+, -2, -2, 3)$	0	0	-420	-90	0	-210
$(-, -1, 3, -1)$	0	0	-420	-60	0	-420
$(+, -4, -1, 4)$	8	50	224	70	50	70
$(-, -2, -2, 5)$	5	20	140	40	25	35
$(-, 2, -1, 1)$	-40	200	-2380	-560	-1000	-140
$(-, -2, -1, 3)$	-120	-600	-3402	-1008	-1000	-1386
$(-, 2, 0, -1)$	180	1800	4200	1680	-4500	-7140
$(+, 2, 0, -1)$	-360	-900	-9240	-2520	-4500	-6720
$(-, -2, 2, 2)$	-20	-50	-224	-70	-125	-343
$(+, 2, -1, -1)$	20	600	0	360	3000	-420
$(-, 2, -1, -2)$	-190	-1000	-4480	-1400	-250	490
$(-, 0, 1, 0)$	540	-2700	37800	9900	27000	-22680
$(+, 0, 1, 0)$	-360	-7125	10080	1935	26625	-22995
$(-, -1, 1, 1)$	0	0	20412	4860	0	-20412
$(+, 1, -1, -1)$	544	2800	-4340	-160	400	-20272
$(-, 1, 1, 0)$	0	0	-630	-180	0	630
$\lambda$	0	0	0	0	$-\frac{25461}{4}$	$-\frac{54495}{4}$

Table 3 Generators for  $\ker (\beta_7^{\mathbf{Q}(t)})^{S_3}$  and  $\ker (\beta_6^{\mathbf{Q}(t)})^{S_3}$  in Thm 1

## 2.5 Specializing to Ladders

A *polylogarithmic ladder* is a (finite) linear combination  $\sum_i n_i Li_m(\alpha^i)$  for some algebraic number  $\alpha$ , some positive integer  $m$ , and integers  $n_i$ , which can be written as a rational linear combination of  $\log^j(\alpha)$  products of logarithms. Lewin gave examples up to weight  $m = 9$  (cf. [1], [25], Chapters 1–6). Cohen, Lewin and Zagier were able to push the set-up in Zagier’s polylogarithm conjecture [31] to produce an example of a ladder up to weight  $m = 16$  (cf. [9]), but they had missed a relation which was eventually detected by Bailey and Broadhurst, allowing the latter to “climb” one weight higher to the current ladder record  $m = 17$  (cf. [2], where they also give ladders for other Salem numbers up to weight 13). The algebraic number  $\alpha$  involved in this ladder is a very distinguished one: it is the so-called *Lehmer number* (the unique root of  $x^{10} + x^9 - x^7 - x^6 - x^5 - x^4 - x^3 + x + 1$  of absolute value  $> 1$ ) which conjecturally has the smallest Mahler measure among algebraic numbers.

The originally quite surprising occurrence of such ladders seems now well understood in the context of Zagier’s polylogarithm conjecture (see, e.g., [31], §7C and [32], §4).

### New ladders of weight 6 and 7

From the functional equations above, we can deduce four linearly independent ladders of weight 6 and two of weight 7. We give the latter here.

With the notation of [1], we let  $\omega$  be a root of the equation

$$x^3 + x^2 = 1.$$

Zagier’s conjecture implies that there should be at least 4 linearly independent ladders for weight 7 for  $\omega$  (cf. [9], §3, and [32], §4).

By substituting  $-\omega$  for  $t$  in the two independent functional equations in one variable stated in Table 3 in terms of the coefficients  $c_j^{(7)} = \{c_j^{(7)}(a)\}$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ), we arrive at the first proven ladder relations for weight 7. We have divided the coefficients by a suitable power of 2 for ease of reading.

**Corollary 4** *Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  denote the following two ladders*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha = & \frac{35397}{256} [1] + \frac{1475}{8} [\omega] - \frac{166525}{1024} [\omega^2] - \frac{3825}{16} [\omega^3] - \frac{55025}{512} [\omega^4] + 127 [\omega^5] \\ & + \frac{34575}{512} [\omega^6] - \frac{5225}{256} [\omega^8] + \frac{475}{16} [\omega^9] - \frac{4117}{1024} [\omega^{10}] - \frac{1375}{512} [\omega^{12}] - \frac{75}{8} [\omega^{14}] \\ & - \frac{29}{16} [\omega^{15}] - \frac{475}{1024} [\omega^{18}] - \frac{133}{512} [\omega^{20}] + \frac{25}{256} [\omega^{28}] + \frac{29}{1024} [\omega^{30}] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\beta = & \frac{194355}{512}[1] + \frac{6265}{16}[\omega] - \frac{479395}{1024}[\omega^2] - \frac{2317}{4}[\omega^3] - \frac{146125}{1024}[\omega^4] + \frac{5005}{16}[\omega^5] \\ & + \frac{84455}{512}[\omega^6] - 9[\omega^7] - \frac{6769}{128}[\omega^8] + \frac{497}{16}[\omega^9] - \frac{9835}{1024}[\omega^{10}] - \frac{5523}{1024}[\omega^{12}] - \frac{1551}{128}[\omega^{14}] \\ & - \frac{35}{16}[\omega^{15}] - \frac{497}{1024}[\omega^{18}] - \frac{245}{1024}[\omega^{20}] + \frac{65}{512}[\omega^{28}] + \frac{35}{1024}[\omega^{30}].\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\mathcal{L}_7(\alpha) = \mathcal{L}_7(\beta) = 0.$$

We note that from the 2-variable equation for the 7-logarithm in [16] we do not obtain an independent ladder, but instead a linear combination of these two, viz.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_7\Big(& -\frac{476217}{512}[1] - \frac{10675}{16}[\omega] + \frac{307825}{256}[\omega^2] + \frac{19565}{16}[\omega^3] - \frac{39725}{1024}[\omega^4] - \frac{10801}{16}[\omega^5] \\ & - \frac{90125}{256}[\omega^6] + 45[\omega^7] + \frac{31115}{256}[\omega^8] + \frac{105}{2}[\omega^9] + \frac{5089}{256}[\omega^{10}] + \frac{8365}{1024}[\omega^{12}] - \frac{645}{128}[\omega^{14}] \\ & - \frac{7}{4}[\omega^{15}] - \frac{105}{128}[\omega^{18}] - \frac{637}{1024}[\omega^{20}] + \frac{25}{512}[\omega^{28}] + \frac{7}{256}[\omega^{30}]\Big) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

This seems to suggest that the 2-variable equation just mentioned may not specialize (at least not directly) to the individual 1-variable equations for  $\mathcal{L}_7$  in Table 3.

We can corroborate here a certain “correlation” of exponents and coefficients which had already been observed by Lewin in connection with other ladders: denoting by  $\alpha_k$  and  $\beta_k$  the coefficient of  $[\omega^k]$  in the ladders  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  given above, we find for  $k > 0$  that

$$5 \mid \alpha_k \Leftrightarrow 5 \nmid k, \quad 7 \mid \beta_k \Leftrightarrow 7 \nmid k.$$

**Acknowledgments:** We are grateful to Don Zagier for invaluable advice and to David Broadhurst and an anonymous referee for useful comments.

## References

- [1] **Abouzahra, M; Lewin, L.; Hongnian Xiao;** *Polylogarithms in the field of omega (a root of a given cubic): Functional equations and ladders*, Aequationes Math. **33**, no.1 (1987), 1–20.
- [2] **Bailey, D.; Broadhurst, D.;** *A seventeenth-order polylogarithm ladder*, preprint 1999, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math.CA/9906134>.

- [3] **Bloch, S.;** *Higher regulators, algebraic K-theory, and zeta functions of elliptic curves*, CRM Monograph Series, 11. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000 (known also as “Irvine Lecture Notes”, 1978).
- [4] **Broadhurst, D.;** *A dilogarithmic 3-dimensional Ising tetrahedron*, The European Physical Journal C, vol. **8**, no.2, 1999, 363–366.
- [5] **Broadhurst, D.;** *Massive 3-loop Feynman diagrams reducible to  $SC^*$  primitives of algebras of the sixth root of unity*, Eur.Phys.J. C**8** (1999) 311–333.
- [6] **Broadhurst, D.; Kreimer, D.;** *Association of multiple zeta values with positive knots via Feynman diagrams up to 9 loops*, Phys.Lett. B**393** (1997) 403–412.
- [7] **Brown, F.;** *The massless higher-loop two point function*, Comm. in Math. Physics **287**, No. 3, (2009), 925–958 [arXiv:0804.1660].
- [8] **Caron-Huot, S.;** *Superconformal symmetry and two-loop amplitudes in planar  $N=4$  super Yang-Mills*, [arXiv:1105.5606 [hep-th]].
- [9] **Cohen, H.; Lewin, L.; Zagier, D.;** *A sixteenth-order polylogarithm ladder*, Experiment. Math. **1** (1992), 25–34.
- [10] **Del Duca, V.; Duhr, C.; Smirnov, V.A.;** *The Two-Loop Hexagon Wilson Loop in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM*, arXiv:1003.1702 [hep-th].
- [11] **Del Duca, V.; Dixon, L.J.; Drummond, J.M.; Duhr, C.; Henn, J.M.; Smirnov, V.A.;** “The one-loop six-dimensional hexagon integral with three massive corners,” Phys. Rev. D **84**, 045017 (2011) [arXiv:1105.2011 [hep-th]].
- [12] **Dixon, L. J.; Drummond, J. M.; Henn, J. M.;** *Bootstrapping the three-loop hexagon*, JHEP **1111**, 023 (2011) arXiv:1108.4461 [hep-th].
- [13] **Dixon, L. J.; Duhr, C.; Pennington, J.;** *Single-valued harmonic polylogarithms and the multi-Regge limit*, JHEP **1210** 074 (2012) arXiv:1207.0186 [hep-th].
- [14] **Gangl, H.;** *Funktionalgleichungen von Polylogarithmen*, Bonner Math. Schriften **278** (1995), Thesis Universität Bonn.

- [15] **Gangl, H.;** *Families of functional equations for polylogarithms*, Algebraic  $K$ -theory (Poznan, 1995), Contemp. Math., 199, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996, 83–105.
- [16] **Gangl, H.;** *Functional equations for higher logarithms*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **9** (2003), no. 3, 361–377.
- [17] **Golden, J.; Goncharov, A.B.; Spradlin, M; Vergu, C.; Volovich, A;** *Motivic Amplitudes and Cluster Coordinates*, arXiv:1305.1617 [hep-th].
- [18] **Goncharov, A.B.;** *Geometry of Configurations, polylogarithms and motivic cohomology*, Adv. Math. **114** (1995), 179–319.
- [19] **Goncharov, A.B.;** *Galois symmetries of fundamental groupoids and noncommutative geometry*, Duke Math. J. **128**, no.2 (2005), 209–284 arXiv:math/0208144.
- [20] **Goncharov, A.B.; Spradlin, M.; Vergu, C.; Volovich, A.;** *Classical Polylogarithms for Amplitudes and Wilson Loops*, arXiv:1006.5703 [hep-th].
- [21] **t’Hooft, G.; Veltman, M.;** *Regularization and renormalization of gauge fields*, Nucl. Phys. B44 (1972) 189–213.
- [22] **Kalmykov, M.Yu;** *Gauss hypergeometric function: reduction, epsilon-expansion for integer/half-integer parameters and Feynman diagrams*, J. High Energy Phys. (2006), no.4, 056.
- [23] **Kummer, E.;** *Über die Transzendenten, welche aus wiederholten Integralen rationaler Funktionen entstehen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **21** (1840), 74–90, 193–225, 328–371.
- [24] **Lewin, L.;** *Polylogarithms and associated functions*, North-Holland, New York, 1981.
- [25] **Lewin, L.;** *Structural properties of polylogarithms*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **37**, AMS, Providence, R.I.
- [26] **Lewin, L; Rost, E.;** *Polylogarithmic functional equations: A new category of results developed with the help of computer algebra (MAC-SYMA)* Aequationes Math. **31** (1986), 212–221.

- [27] **Nahm, W.:** *Conformal Field Theory and Torsion Elements of the Bloch Group*, in “Frontiers in Number Theory, Physics and Geometry II”, Les Houches Proceedings, Springer (Cartier, Julia, Moussa, Vanhove, eds.) (2007), 67–132.
- [28] **Smirnov, V.A.:** *Analytical Result for Dimensionally Regularized Massive On-Shell Planar Double Box*, Phys. Lett. B 524 (2002), 129–136.
- [29] **Ussyukina, N.I., Davydychev, A.I.** *Exact results for three- and four-point ladder diagrams with an arbitrary number of rungs*, Phys. Lett. B **305** (1993), no. 1-2, 136–143.
- [30] **Wechsung, G.:** *Über die Unmöglichkeit des Vorkommens von Funktionalgleichungen gewisser Struktur für Polylogarithmen*. Aequationes Math. **5** (1970), 54–62.
- [31] **Zagier, D.:** *Polylogarithms, Dedekind zeta functions and the algebraic K-theory of fields*, Arithmetic algebraic geometry (Texel, 1989), Progr. Math. **89**, Birkhäuser (1991), 391–430.
- [32] **Zagier, D.:** *Special values and functional equations of polylogarithms*, Appendix A to “Structural properties of polylogarithms”, [25].